



## **Findings June 25, 2008**

### **CPRC Case No. 06-061**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 2. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.

### **CPRC Case No. 07-051**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 2. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 3. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 4. Improper Procedure** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
- 5. Improper Procedure** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

### **CPRC Case No. 07-062**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 2. Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.

**CPRC Case No. 07-063**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

**SUBJECT OFFICER #1**

1. **Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.

**SUBJECT OFFICER #2**

1. **Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.

**CPRC Case No. 07-066**

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy and Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
2. **Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.

**CPRC Case No. 08-002**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Improper Procedure** – The alleged act did not occur.
2. **Improper Procedure** – The alleged act occurred, but was justified, legal, and proper.